



PHOENIX, AZ 85016

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,224	10/16/2001	Reuben Bahar	133/117	4472
7590 09/29/2005			EXAMINER	
CAHILL VON HELLENS & GLAZER PLC			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
ALLTEN: MA	RVIN A GLAZER			
155 PARK ONE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2141 E HIGHLAND AVENUE			2143	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,224	BAHAR, REUBEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication a eriod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	June 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 17-154</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 17-154</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	-
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2001</u> is/a		bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	·	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.
attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
Patent and Trademark Office OL <sub>3</sub> 326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050629

Application/Control Number: 09/978,224

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 & 17-154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi (U.S. 6,629,131B1) and Flynn et al (U.S. 6,618,747B1).
- 3. As per claims 1, 17, 57, 80, 102, 124 & 142 Choi disclosed a method for verifying whether e-mail sent by a sending party was delivered to the intended recipient, said method comprising: a) acquiring an e-mail for transmission to a target recipient; b) transmitting said e-mail from a sender computer that is connected to the communications network; c) delivering said e-mail to a recipient e-mail address, said e-mail address associated with a recipient computer (col.2, lines 59-67), f) sending said confirmation of receipt notice to said sending party, wherein, the discovered recipient data contained in said confirmation of receipt notice can be compared to delivery information associated with said intended recipient in order to verify if the e-mail was delivered the intended recipient (col.3, lines 1-30). However Choi did not explicitly disclose that upon the occurrence of an access event, discovering recipient data that is associated with a recipient party; generating a confirmation of receipt notice wherein the discovered recipient data is Included in said confirmation of receipt notice. Flynn in the same field of endeavor disclosed

Art Unit: 2143

that upon the occurrence of an access event, discovering recipient data that is associated with a recipient party; generating a confirmation of receipt notice wherein the discovered recipient data is Included in said confirmation of receipt notice (col.6, lines 53-65).

It would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the discovered recipients data in the confirmation receipt as disclosed by Flynn in a method of verifying whether e-mail was delivered to the intended recipient as disclosed by Choi in order to make the e-mail delivery system more secure and protected by giving the sender the ability to know exactly who the e-mail content was delivered to.

- 4. As per claims 2, 18, 65, 87 & 106 Choi-Flynn disclosed the method as in claim 1, wherein the discovering step includes retrieving from a computer associated with the recipient party a pre-recorded recipient data file containing pre-recorded recipient data (choi, col.3, lines 1-30).
- As per claims 3, 20, 21, 4, 59, 63, 66, 58, 81, 84, 85, 86, 88, 89, 102, 104, n108, 109, 111, 112, 143, 144, 146, 147, 149, 151, 153, 6, 7, 114, 118, 119, 120, 91, 92, 94, 8, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 48, 56, 25, 26, 27, 30, 32, 34, 43, 53, 67, 69, 70, 76, 129-141 & 147 Choi-Flynn disclosed the method as in claim 1, further comprising the step of obtaining recipient party identity information from the recipient party as a requisite condition for permitting access to the recipient e-mail address, and wherein the discovering step includes retrieving the recipient party identity information, and wherein tire generating step includes such recipient party identity information within the data contained in the confirmation of receipt notice (Flynn, col.6, lines 53-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/978,224 Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

As per claims 5, 61, 60, 82, 83, 105, 107, 50, 115, 116, 117, 95, 49, 19, 22, 28, 29, 35, 23, 24, 123, 62, 73 & 79 Choi-Flynn disclosed the method as in claim 1, wherein the discovering step includes electronically tapping a remote connection between the recipient computer and a remote user computer operable by the recipient party to gain remote access to the recipient e-mail address (Flynn, col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-21).

- 7. As per claims 31, 33, 39, 40, 45, 47, 54, 55, 110, 113, 121, 122, 68, 71, 77, 78, 148, 150, 152 & 154 Choi-Flynn disclosed the system as in Claim 20, wherein said identity information pertains to biometric identification, password identification, a computer generated user code, or a combination thereof (Flynn, col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-21).
- 8. As per claims 51, 52, 36, 37, 74, 75, 125, 126, 127 & 128 Choi-Flynn disclosed the method as in. Claim 1, wherein said confirmation of receipt once is used to verify proper delivery of legal documents (Flynn, col.6, lines 66-67 & col.7, lines 1-21).

## Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 16 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. The applicant argued, (in the amended claims) "Choi disclosure does not teach, or suggest the step of discovering recipient data associated with the recipient e-mail address".
- 11. As to applicants arguments, please see the rejection on line 3 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,224

Art Unit: 2143

#### Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,224

Art Unit: 2143

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

AB AB

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100